WAYS OF KNOWING

John Ehman, 10/00, rev. 2/05 john.ehman@uphs.upenn.edu

Claims of knowledge rest upon "ways of knowing" (in other words, *how* we "know" what we claim to "know"). Four widely accepted epistemological categories of "ways of knowing" are listed below, along with some of their recognized advantages and disadvantages.

WAY OF KNOWING	SOME MAJOR ADVANTAGES	SOME MAJOR DISADVANTAGES
Sensory Perception / Observation (Empiricism)	• knowledge is grounded in <i>observable</i> "facts" and is thus termed <i>objective</i>	• all phenomena are not easily observable, and some phenomena may not be observable at all
	• claims of knowledge may be tested and criticized by others relatively easily	• our senses have limits (e.g., human hearing differs from that of other animals) and can at times mislead us (e.g., optical illusions)
	• it is basic to the scientific method, which has proven to be a valuable process in establishing a great deal of our knowledge in the modern world	observable data takes on meaning by the way it is organized and interpreted, and such organization and interpretation may introduce bias
	• it is a way of knowing that often can be tested <i>repeatedly</i>	• emphasis on "objectivity" may mask "subjective" influences
Reason / Logic (Rationalism)	• it does not depend upon the limits of sensory observation	• it works with abstractions which may be unrelated to the "real world we live in"
	• it is checked by rules of logic and internal consistency	
	• in its least formal practice, this is often a "common sense" way of	• logical arguments may hide logical fallacies and rhetorical conceits
	knowing	what at first may seem "logical" may turn out to be merely social/cultural convention
Authority	• it utilizes the wisdom of "great" people and traditions	authorities can be wrong
	many "authorities" are recognized as such because they have been time-tested through some social process of validation	authority is sometimes largely just a function of the popularity or political power of a person or tradition
	• utilizing authorities can conserve our own effort	deference to authorities can hinder our own critical judgment or cause us to discount our own wisdom
Intuition / Inspiration / Revelation	• it may allow us to "know" things which could be unavailable to us by other ways of knowing	• it deals with personal and private experience that is relatively inaccessible to others or to outside critical evaluation
	• it may allow us a direct and unmediated form of knowledge	• it may be quite vulnerable to personal misconceptions/delusions
	• it seems to produce knowledge that is personally powerful and deeply affecting	because it is such a personal and private way of knowing, it may be hard to communicate/translate this knowledge for others' use
	• it may allow us to tap into a certain "emotional wisdom" (as opposed to what is purely intellectual) or a transcendent or divine knowing	• it may be the subtle product of undifferentiated <i>other</i> ways of knowing